## Retailers' price comparisons

Advertising Guidance (non-broadcast)

## Foreword

The Committee of Advertising Practice (CAP) offers guidance on the interpretation of the UK Code of Advertising (the CAP Code) in relation to non-broadcast marketing communications.

The Broadcast Committee of Advertising Practice (BCAP) offers guidance on the interpretation of the UK Code of Broadcast Advertising (the BCAP Code) in relation to broadcast marketing communications.
Advertising Guidance is intended to guide advertisers, agencies and media owners how to interpret the Codes but is not a substitute for those Codes. Advertising Guidance reflects CAP's and/or BCAP's intended effect of the Codes but neither constitutes new rules nor binds the ASA Councils in the event of a complaint about an advertisement that follows it.

For pre-publication advice on specific non-broadcast advertisements, consult the CAP Copy Advice team by telephone on 0207492 2100, by fax on 02074043404 or you can $\log$ a written enquiry via our online request form.

For advice on specific radio advertisements, consult the Radio Advertising Clearance Centre (RACC), and for TV advertisements, Clearcast.

For the full list of Advertising Guidance, please visit our website.

CAP Help Notes offer guidance for non-broadcast marketing communications under the UK Code of Non-broadcast Advertising, Sales Promotions and Direct Marketing (the CAP Code). For advice on the rules for TV or radio commercials, contact the BACC uww.bacc.org.uk for TV ads or the RACC www.racc.co.uk for radio ads.

## Background

These guidelines, drawn up by the CAP Executive, are intended to help marketers and their agencies interpret the rules in the CAP Code. The "Key points" are intended to guide media ad departments. The Help Note is based on past ASA decisions. It neither constitutes new rules nor binds the ASA Council in the event of a complaint about a marketing communication that follows it.

## Key points for media ad departments

Marketers should hold documentary evidence to back up all comparative claims.
Marketers should ensure that the basis of any comparative claim is clear, whether they compare on a like-for-like-basis or otherwise.

Marketers should state where and when comparative surveys were carried out.
Price comparisons should be made only with retailers in the same locality, unless it makes no difference to compare prices with retailers in other localities.

Marketers should not exaggerate the length of time for which their prices have been lower.

Marketers in particularly price sensitive retail sectors should use media with a short "shelf-life". They should avoid using media with long copy deadlines.
Marketers comparing products of the same or different quality should make the basis of the comparison clear.

Marketers comparing different sizes of products should explain clearly those differences.
Marketers should state if prices featured in comparisons are promotional prices and should normally compare their promotional prices with their competitors' promotional prices.

Marketers comparing typical weekly shops (or the like) should ensure that their ads give enough information about the products included in the comparison to allow the price difference to be verified. The advertisement should either state what goods are included in the comparison or direct readers to another source that lists those goods.

Marketers comparing typical weekly shops (or the like) should also ensure that the selection of goods does not skew the total saving unfairly.

Marketers should compare prices that apply to the same sales channel, and state any additional costs, unless they state clearly otherwise.

## Scope

The guidelines apply to marketing communications by retailers that include a price comparison with one or more competitor.

Sections I and II of the Code list those marketing communications subject to the Code and, therefore, these guidelines.

## The law

Marketers should seek legal advice or contact their Trading Standards home authority to ensure that their claims comply with the law. Individual circumstances will determine whether a marketing communication breaks the law but marketers should have regard to the Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008 and the Business Protection from Misleading Marketing Regulations 2008.

## The CAP Code

Marketers should comply with the Code, especially these rules.

## General rules

3.1 "Marketing communications must not materially mislead or be likely to do so."
3.7 "Marketers must hold documentary evidence to prove claims that consumers are likely to regard as objective and that are capable of objective substantiation. The ASA may regard claims as misleading in the absence of adequate substantiation."

## Comparisons with identified competitors or their products

3.33 "Marketing communications that include a comparison with an identifiable competitor must not mislead, or be likely to mislead, the consumer about either the advertised product or the competing product."
3.34 "They must compare products meeting the same need or intended for the same purpose."
3.35 "They must objectively compare one or more material, relevant, verifiable and representative features of those products which may include price."
3.36 "They must not create confusion between the marketer and its competitors or between the marketer's product, trade mark, trade name or other distinguishing mark and that of a competitor."

## Other comparisons

3.38 "Marketing communications that include a comparison with an unidentifiable competitor must not mislead, or be likely to mislead, the consumer. The elements of the comparison must not be selected to give the marketer an unrepresentative advantage."

## Price Comparisons

3.39 "Marketing communications that include a price comparison must make the basis of the comparison clear."

## Denigration and unfair advantage

3.42 "Marketing communications must not discredit or denigrate another product, marketer, trade mark, trade name or other distinguishing mark."

## Guidance

Before distributing or submitting a marketing communication for publication, marketers must hold documentary evidence to prove all claims, whether direct or implied.

Marketers should not claim that their prices are lower than those of their competitors for all products if they are lower only for selected products. If they claim they are generally lower, they should explain the basis of that comparison (for example, by explaining that it is based on a typical weekly shop and stating where consumers can find information about the products compared) and be able to demonstrate that it is a fair and suitable basis for a general savings claim.

Marketers may advertise savings against their competitors' total price for a selection of goods, provided that consumers can easily obtain information about the products in the selection. Marketers must ensure they give a separate savings claim for each competitor named in the ad.

Marketers should state where and when any surveys featured in their marketing communications were carried out. If precise information about where and when independent surveys were carried out is not available, marketers should state in their marketing communications the geographical area to which the surveys apply (for example South-East England or nationwide). The results of surveys should not be contradicted by more recent surveys.

Marketers should make price comparisons only with retailers in the same locality unless they can show that it makes no difference to compare prices with retailers in other localities (for example because of due to a national pricing policy)

Marketers should not exaggerate the length of time for which their prices have been lower.

If competitors are likely to change their prices quickly in response to marketers' marketing communications, marketers should use media with a short "shelf-life" such as leaflets and daily newspapers. They should avoid marketing communications in media with long copy deadlines (for example, some magazines and directories), block bookings in media that do not allow for amendments to be made and media with a long "shelf-life" (for example, directories or brochures). If at all possible, marketers should amend their marketing communications if featured prices are inaccurate or out of date;

Marketers comparing products of the same, or very similar, quality (for example ownbrand with own-brand) or differing quality (for example own-brand with premium) must make the basis of the comparison clear.

Marketers that compare different sizes of products should explain clearly those differences. Products that are sold in bulk are likely to be sold at a discount. If, for example, a 500 ml bottle is compared with a 50 ml bottle then the ad should make clear that the comparative price is achieved by dividing the cost of the larger product by 10. Similarly, if marketers compare prices of multipacks of their products with competitors' single-product prices multiplied by the relevant number, they must make that clear.

Marketers should state clearly if prices featured in comparisons are promotional prices (for example, short-term special offer prices) and whether any relevant geographical restrictions apply. They should normally compare their promotional prices with their competitors' promotional prices. If they do not, it should be clear that they are comparing their promotional prices with their competitors' normal prices.

Marketers who compare typical weekly shops (or other comparisons of prices based on a sample of goods) must make the composition of the comparison available to consumers, either in the ad or in material that consumers can easily locate on the basis of information given in the ad.

Marketers comparing typical weekly shops (or other clearly defined comparisons) should ensure that they are comparing a fair and truly representative selection. They should take care not to skew the comparison by, for example, comparing an overly small number of products or an untypically high number of high-priced products that are substantially cheaper than their competitors' equivalents.

Unless they state clearly otherwise, and state any additional costs, marketers should compare prices that apply to the same sales channel (for example, in-store prices should be compared with in-store prices, online prices with online prices).

Advice on specific marketing communications is available from the Copy Advice team by telephone on 0207492 2100, by fax on 0207404 3404, or you can log a specific written enquiry via our online request form. The Copy Advice website at contains a full list of Help Notes as well as access to the AdviceOnline database, which has links through to relevant Code rules and ASA adjudications.
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