## SECTION 20: EMPLOYMENT, HOMEWORK SCHEMES AND BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES

Question 69: Given its policy consideration, do you agree with CAP's proposal to extend the requirements of the present rule on marketing communications by employment agencies to cover marketing communications by employment businesses? If your answer is no, please explain why.

Responses received in favour of CAP's proposal from:	Summaries of significant points:	CAP's evaluation of those points and action points:
Advertising Association; Charity Law Association Two individuals	The respondents listed in the left hand column agreed with CAP's proposal.	CAP welcomes the respondents' comments.
Responses received against CAP's proposal:	Summaries of significant points:	CAP's evaluation of those points and action points:
None		

Question 70: Given its policy consideration, do you agree with CAP's proposal to require all marketing communications for homework schemes to include limitations or conditions that might influence consumers before their decision to participate and to state whether the marketers will buy any products made? If your answer is no, please explain why.

Responses received	Summaries of significant points:	CAP's	evaluation	of	those	points	and	action
in favour of CAP's		points:						

proposal from:	The Charity Law Association said:	
	1. Although it favoured CAP's proposal, the	1. CAP recognises that its proposed rules on
Advertising	change might make it difficult for homework	would require more information to be included in
Association;	scheme operators – particularly those promoted by	marketing communications for homework
Charity Law	charitable organisations – to produce concise	schemes than at present. It proposed those rules
Association	marketing communications. That is because,	because it considered that information - that is,
	under the existing rules, much of the required	limitations and conditions that might influence
An individual	information may be included in follow-up material;	consumers before they decide to participate, such
	under the proposed rule that information would	as whether the marketers will buy back any
	have to be included in all marketing	products made and if a financial outlay is, or
	communications.	might be, required – are factors that are material to a consumer's decision. CAP considers the
		extra information that must be included in
		marketing communications for homework
		schemes under the proposed rule would be
		beneficial in protecting consumers and warrant
		slightly stricter requirements on marketers.
	The Charity Law Association said:	
	2. There were subtle differences between	2. CAP considers that, unlike homework
	proposed rules 20.5 (homework schemes) and	schemes, business opportunities usually require a
	20.8 (business opportunities): 20.5 would require	financial outlay, and that consumers would be
	all marketing communications for homework	likely to recognise that fact. For that reason, CAP
	schemes to state limitations and conditions that	considers it would be onerous to require all marketing communications for business
	might influence a consumer's decision to participate, such as whether a financial outlay is, or	marketing communications for business opportunities to state whether a financial outlay is
	is likely to be required. Proposed rule 20.8 would,	necessary. CAP has therefore decided to retain
	however, permit that information to be included in	the wording of rules 20.7 and 20.8 (business
	follow-up literature for a business opportunity.	opportunities) on which it consulted.
		Rule 20.7, and the rules in the Misleading
		Advertising section, will prevent marketing

		communications for business opportunities from creating a misleading impression of the likely earnings or return on investment.
Responses received against CAP's proposal:	Summaries of significant points:	CAP's evaluation of those points and action points:
None		
for vocational traini	its policy consideration, do you agree with CAP's ng and other instruction courses to make cle s likely to affect a consumer's decision to emba Summaries of significant points:	ear significant conditions for acceptance and
Advertising Association; Charity Law Association An individual	The respondents listed in the left hand column agreed with CAP's proposal.	,
Responses received against CAP's proposal:	Summaries of significant points:	CAP's evaluation of those points and action points:
None		

## **Question 72:**

- i) Taking into account its general policy objectives, do you agree that CAP's rules, included in the proposed Employment, Homework Schemes and Business Opportunities section, are necessary and easily understandable? If your answer is no, please explain why.
- ii) On consideration of the mapping document in Annex 2, can you identify any changes from the present to the proposed Employment, Homework Schemes and Business Opportunities rules that are likely to amount to a significant change in advertising policy and practice, which are not reflected here and that you believe should be retained or otherwise given dedicated consideration?

## iii) Do you have other comments on this section?

Responses received	These organisations and an individual agreed the	CAP's	evaluation	of	those	points	and	action
from:	rules in the proposed Employment, Homework	points:						
	Schemes and Business Opportunities section are							
Advertising	necessary and easily understandable. Those							
Association;	respondents did not identify any changes from the							
Charity Law	present to the proposed rules that would amount to							
Association	a significant change in advertising policy and							
	practice, apart from those highlighted in the							
An individual	consultation document:							
	Advertising Association;							
I	Charity Law Association							